





## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/236,350	01/25/1999	ISAMU UENO	35.C13282	1615
5514 7	7590 02/18/2004		EXAMI	NER
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			MISLEH, JUSTIN P	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	/
			DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004 / 9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/236,350	UENO ET AL.			
·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Justin P Misleh	2612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 02 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A- event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The observed have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canc	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered b raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: 9 and 10.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 8, 38, and 39</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					

WENDY R. GARBER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

"Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/236,350

-





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The inclusion of a new limitation in Claim 1 that requires an operation circuit which provides at least two different color difference signals on the two rows x two columns basis requires further consideration and/or search.